

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,056	07/03/2003	Norikazu Arai	28600637-03	4259	
22852 75	90 03/20/2006	EXAMINER			
•	HENDERSON, FARA	CHU, KIM KWOK			
LLP			ADTIBUT	DADED MIMBED	
	K AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2653		
		DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
			10/612,056	6 ARAI ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Kim-Kwok CHU		2653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Notes on soft time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this component of period for reply is specified above, the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COL 6(a). In no event, however I apply and will expire Stause the application to	MMUNICATION /er, may a reply be tim IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>Amen</i>	dment filed on 7/	3/2003.					
•	,		action is non-fina						
3)		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims		•						
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>137-147</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-136</u> is/a			tion.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>137-147</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
,	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requiren	nent.					
	ion Papers		•						
	The specification is objected to by the	o Everniner							
=				objected to b	v the Evaminer				
10)2	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			•	` '	CED 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	_				, ,			
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	o by the Exa	Thirds word the		7.011011 07 101111 1	10-102.			
•	•								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	priority under 35 t	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority								
	2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority								
	3. Copies of the certified copies				d in this Nationa	il Stage			
	application from the Internation		•						
- 3	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	t the certified cop	oles not receive	a.				
Attachmen	• •								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	DTO 049\		nterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	æ of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (i mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o				atent Application (P1	(O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	,	6) 🔲 C	Other:					

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 137-147 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-8, of U.S. Patent No. 6,950,384. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. For example:
- (a) claim 137 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 1 of the '384 patent except that the '384 patent claims additional features such as all the first, second and third light fluxes are parallel light fluxes;
- (b) claim 138 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 5 of the '384 patent;

10/612,056 AU 2653

- (c) claim 139 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 6 of the '384 patent;
- (d) claim 140 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 7 of the '384 patent;
- (e) claim 141 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 8 of the '384 patent;
- (f) claim 142 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 8 of the '384 patent;
- (g) claim 143 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 4 of the '384 patent;
- (h) claim 144 of the instant Applicant is an obvious feature in claim 1 of the '384 patent where correcting the aberration due to thicknesses of the medium can be considered as correcting the aberration due to different wavelengths as in claim 144 because the '384 patent uses there different wavelengths of light beams to achieve reproducing information on the three different thicknesses of the medium respectively;
- (i) claim 145 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 10 of the '384 patent;
- (j) claim 146 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 3 of the '384 patent; and
- (k) claim 147 of the instant Applicant is an obvious feature in claim 1 of the '384 patent because the objective lens of the '384 patent is designed for a DVD and a CD.

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry. Or:

(571) 273-7585, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed USPTO Contact Center (703) 308-4357; Electronic Business Center (703) 305-3028.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim CHU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7585 between 9:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday to Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kim-Kwok CHU

Examiner AU2653 7/15/86

March 15, 2006 (571) 272-7585

CALLETTE CONTROLLED SALO